From: Torrence, Rufus

Sent: Thursday, November 08, 2012 8:26 AM

To: 'Mcavoy, Lance'

**Subject:** AR0021750 AFIN 66-00226 & AR0033278 AFIN 66-001653 City of Fort 2011

Annual Report Review by ADEQ

Attachments: Ltr Contaminated Sampling Point 20121105.pdf; TBLL Massard 20121030

Local\_Limit Worksheet.pdf; TBLL P ST 20121030 Local\_Limits Worksheet.pdf; FTSM 2011 Annual Report.pdf; TBLL Massard Plant BOD TSS Data.pdf; Inf-Eff

Chart Massard.doc; Inf-Eff Chart P St.doc



November 6, 2012

Lance McAvoy Utility Department 3900 Kelley Hwy Fort Smith, Arkansas 72904

Re: City of Fort Smith 2011 Annual Report (Permit No. AR0021750, AFIN 66-00226)

Dear Mr. McAvoy:

The Department has reviewed the City's 2011 Annual Report. The report has been deemed "complete". However, the City has demonstrated that local limits for  $BOD_5$  and TSS are not necessary at this time. Nonetheless, the department will review the  $BOD_5$  and TSS Maximum Allowable Headworks Loads (MAHLs) annually to ensure no significant increase in loadings. Therefore, the Department is asking the City to report quarterly  $BOD_5$ , TSS and NH3-N loadings in future annual reports. Please find attached updated Influent-Effluent charts. The chart shows loadings for  $BOD_5$ , TSS and NH3-N. The City should review the attached Excel spreadsheets (PDF copies) which show the derivation of the loadings for each plant. Copies of the Excel Workbooks with supporting documentation are available upon request.

Attached to a letter dated September 28, 2012, the City submitted  $BOD_5$  and TSS data for the Massard plant (see attached data). The City recorded  $BOD_5$  and TSS peaking loadings at 35,618 and 107,753 lbs/day, respectively. The Oct 2010 TSS loading <u>appears</u> to be a slug load to the POTW. In the City's letter dated December 7, 2011, find this comment:

"The influent sample collection point is a distribution structure where return sludge was introduced at a point prior to our only available influent sample collection point. During the course of recent construction, this return sludge line was to have been either disconnected and/or relocated to a point that would not be commingle waste sludge with raw WWTP influent, thereby eliminating the possibility of sample contamination and providing us with an adequate sampling point."

The first quarter influent results dated October 31, 2011 shows Mercury and Zinc in the influent above the respective MAHC limits  $(8.8/1.0 \text{ and } 2500/1451.7 \text{ }\mu\text{g/l})$ . These concentrations also indicate a possible slug load. To alert the City and confirm the absence of the possibility of a new slug load entering the POTW, the Department contacted the City immediately by email. The City responded with the attached letter.

The Department appreciates the City's continued efforts in annual reporting.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact the Department at (501) 682-0626 or <a href="mailto:torrence@adeq.state.ar.us">torrence@adeq.state.ar.us</a>.

Sincerely,

Rufus Torrence, Pretreatment Engineer

Trush Jovence

Water Division

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
5301 NORTHSHORE DRIVE / NORTH LITTLE ROCK / ARKANSAS 72) 18-5317 / TELEPHONE 501-682-0744 / FAX 501-682-0880
www.adeq.state.ocus

#### MONITORING RESULTS FOR THE ANNUAL PRETREATMENT REPORT

REPORTING YEAR: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, <u>20</u> TO \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, <u>20</u>

TREATMENT PLANT: City of Fort Smith Massard NPDES PERMIT #AR0021750

AVERAGE POTW FLOW: MGD % IU FLOW: %

METALS,	МАНС		INFLUENT DΑ	ATES SAMPLE	WQ level/	El	FFLUENT DA	TES SAMPLI	ED	LAI	BORATORY AN	NALYSIS	
CYANIDE and PHENOLS	(Total) (μg/l) (2)		Once	/quarter		limit (µg/l)		Once/	quarter		EPA MQL	EPA Method	Detection Level
	(2)	Date	Date	Date	Date	(2)	Date	Date Date Date Date		Date	(μg/l) (1)	Used (1)	Achieved (μg/l)
Antimony	N/A					N/A	A		60.0				
Cadmium	223.5					60.3					0.5		
Copper	814.4					205.9					0.5		
Lead	224.1					247.1					0.5		
Mercury	1.03					0.17					0.005		
Nickel	176.1					6337.2					0.5		
Selenium	352.3					65.7					5.0		
Silver	214.7					53.7					0.5		
Zinc	1451.7					1650.8					20.0		
Chromium	852.3					10817.6					10.0		
Cyanide	230.0					71.5					10.0		
Arsenic	29.3					2293.1					0.5		
Molybdenum	26.4					N/A							
Phenols	N/A					N/A					5		
Beryllium	N/A					72.9					0.5		
Thallium	N/A					N/A					0.5		
Flow, MGD	N/A					N/A							
BOD <sub>5</sub> (lbs/day)	35618.0					25.0 mg/l					N/A N/A		
TSS (lbs/day)	39587.8					30.0 mg/l					N/A		
NH3-N (lbs/day)	2085.0					5.0 mg/l					N/A		
(3)													

- (1) It is advised that the influent and effluent samples are collected considering flow detention time through each plant. Analytical MQLs must be met for the effluent (and SHOULD be met for the influent) so the data can also be used for Local Limits assessment and NPDES application purposes.
- (2) This value was calculated during the development of TBLL based on State WQ criteria, EPA guidance and either ADEQ Pretreatment staff Excel spreadsheets or the Permittee's consultant with concurrence from Pretreatment staff.
- (3) Record the name of any pollutant [40 CFR 122, Appendix D, Table II and/or Table V] detected and the concentration at which they were detected.

MAHL - Maximum Allowable Headworks Level / MAHC – Maximum Allowable Headworks Concentration For BOD, TSS & NH3-N, in each quarter show the maximum daily loading and the maximum daily effluent concentration.

WQ - "Water Quality Levels not to exceed" OR actual permit limit (May-Oct CBOD5 limit of 25 mg/l shown for BOD).

#### ATTACHMENT A

## PRETREATMENT PROGRAM STATUS REPORT UPDATED SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRIAL USERS LIST

Industrial User Name	SIC/NAICS Code	40 CFR XXX or N/A	Control Document		New User	Times Inspected	Times Sampled	Compl	NC, or SNC)	Permit Limits (also denote those		
Nume	code	or N/A	Y/N	Last Action			1	BMR	90-day Compliance	Semi Annual	Self Monitoring	violated & number of times)

Please footnote N/A reason

## ATTACHMENT B SIGNIFICANT VIOLATIONS - ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS TAKEN

Industrial User	Nature Violat	e of cion		Numbe:	r of Act	ion Taken		Penalties	Compliance Schedule		Current	Comments
Name	Reports	Limits	N.O.V.	A.O.	Civil	Criminal	Other	Collected	Date Issued	Date Due	Status	Commerces
										_		

#### ATTACHMENT C

#### PRETREATMENT PERFORMANCE SUMMARY (PPS)

NOTE: ALL QUESTIONS REFER TO THE INDUSTRIAL PRETREATMENT PROGRAM <u>AS APPROVED</u> BY ADEQ. THE PERMITTEE SHOULD NOT ANSWER THE QUESTIONS BASED ON CHANGES MADE TO THE APPROVED PROGRAM WITHOUT DEPARTMENT AUTHORIZATION.

#### I. <u>General Information</u>

Con	trol Authority Name	
Add	ress	
Cit	y State/Zip	
Con	tact Person Position	
Con	tact Telephone NPDES Permit Nos	
Rep	orting Period	
	(Beginning Month and Year) (Ending Month and Year)	nth and Year)
Tot	al Number of Categorical IUs	
Tot	al Number of Significant Noncategorical IUs	
Tot	al Number of Non-Significant (yet permitted) IUs	
	II. Significant Industrial User Compliance	
	SIGNIFICANT Categorical	INDUSTRIAL USERS NonCategorical
1)	No. of SIUs Submitting BMRs/Total No. Required	N/A*
2)	No. of SIUs Submitting 90-Day Compliance Reports/No. Required /_	N/A*
3)	No. of SIUs Submitting Semiannual Reports/ Total No. Required /	/
4)	No. of SIUs Meeting Compliance Schedule/ Total No. Required to Meet Schedule/	/_
5)	No. of SIUs in Significant Noncompliance/ Total No. of SIUs /	/
6)	Rate of Significant Noncompliance for all SIUs (categorical and noncategorical)	/

#### III. Compliance Monitoring Program

			SIGNIFICANT I Categorical	NDUSTRIAL USERS NonCategorical
1)	No. of Control Documents Issued/Total Required		/	/
2)	No. of Nonsampling Inspections Conduct	ed		/
3)	No. of Sampling Visits Conducted			/
4)	No. of Facilities Inspected (nonsampli	ng) .		/
5)	No. of Facilities Sampled			/
	IV. <u>Enforcement</u>	. Actic	ons	
			SIGNIFICANT Categorical	INDUSTRIAL USERS NonCategorical
1)	No. of Compliance Schedules Issued/No. of Schedules Required		/	/
2)	No. of Notices of Violations Issued to	SIUs		
3)	No. of Administrative Orders Issued to	SIUs		
4)	No. of Civil Suits Filed			
5)	No. of Criminal Suits Filed			
6)	No. of Significant Violators (attach newspaper publication)			
7)	Amount of Penalties (not surcharges) Collected (total dollars/IUs assessed)		/	/_
8)	Other Actions (sewer bans, etc.)			
	following certification must be signed plete:	in ord	der for this	form to be considered
	ertify that the information contained homy knowledge.	erein :	is complete a	and accurate to the best
	Authorized Representative		Date	

#### MONITORING RESULTS FOR THE ANNUAL PRETREATMENT REPORT

REPORTING YEAR: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, <u>20</u> TO \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, <u>20</u>

TREATMENT PLANT: City of Fort Smith "P" Street NPDES PERMIT #AR0033278

AVERAGE POTW FLOW: MGD % IU FLOW: %

METALS,	МАНС	]	INFLUENT DA	ATES SAMPLE	ED	WQ level/ limit	E		TES SAMPLI	ED	LAI	LABORATORY ANALYSIS		
CYANIDE and PHENOLS	(Total) (μg/l) (2)			/quarter					quarter		EPA MQL	EPA Method	Detection Level	
		Date	Date	Date	Date	(2)	Date	Date Date Date		Date	(μg/l) (1)	Used (1)	Achieved (μg/l)	
Antimony	N/A					N/A					60.0			
Cadmium	23.9					53.0					0.5			
Copper	880.9					180.8					0.5			
Lead	259.5					209.3					0.5			
Mercury	0.35					0.14					0.005			
Nickel	188.4					5366.7					0.5			
Selenium	37.7					57.7					5.0			
Silver	188.6					47.2					0.5			
Zinc	1553.3					1449.7					20.0			
Chromium	614.5					9499.5					10.0			
Cyanide	100.0					60.6					10.0			
Arsenic	31.41					2013.7					0.5			
Molybdenum	28.2					N/A								
Phenols	N/A					N/A					5.0			
Beryllium	100.0					61.7					0.5			
Thallium	N/A										0.5			
Flow, MGD	N/A					N/A								
BOD <sub>5</sub> (lbs/day)	39156.0					N/A 25.0 mg/l					N/A N/A			
TSS (lbs/day)	43003.0					30.0 mg/l					N/A			
	3127.5					5.0 mg/l					N/A			
(3)			-											
·														

- (1) It is advised that the influent and effluent samples are collected considering flow detention time through each plant. Analytical MQLs must be met for the effluent (and SHOULD be met for the influent) so the data can also be used for Local Limits assessment and NPDES application purposes.
- (2) This value was calculated during the development of TBLL based on State WQ criteria, EPA guidance and either ADEQ Pretreatment staff Excel spreadsheets or the Permittee's consultant with concurrence from Pretreatment staff.
- (3) Record the name of any pollutant [40 CFR 122, Appendix D, Table II and/or Table V] detected and the concentration at which they were detected.

MAHL - Maximum Allowable Headworks Level / MAHC – Maximum Allowable Headworks Concentration For BOD, TSS & NH3-N, in each quarter show the maximum daily loading and the maximum daily effluent concentration.

WQ - "Water Quality Levels not to exceed" OR actual permit limit (May-Oct CBOD5 limit of 25 mg/l shown for BOD).

#### ATTACHMENT A

## PRETREATMENT PROGRAM STATUS REPORT UPDATED SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRIAL USERS LIST

Industrial User Name	SIC/NAICS Code	40 CFR XXX or N/A	Cor Doc	ntrol ument	New User	Times Inspected	Times Sampled	Compli	iance Status (	(N/A, C,	NC, or SNC)	Permit Limits (also denote those
Name	Code	or N/A	Y/N	Last Action				BMR	90-day Compliance	Semi Annual	Self Monitoring	violated & number of times)
								_				
								_				

Please footnote N/A reason

## ATTACHMENT B SIGNIFICANT VIOLATIONS - ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS TAKEN

Industrial User	Nature Violat	of ion		Numbe:	r of Act	ion Taken		Penalties Collected	Compliance Schedule		Current	Comments
Name	Reports	Limits	N.O.V.	A.O.	Civil	Criminal	Other	Collected	Date Issued	Date Due	Status	Commence

#### ATTACHMENT C

#### PRETREATMENT PERFORMANCE SUMMARY (PPS)

NOTE: ALL QUESTIONS REFER TO THE INDUSTRIAL PRETREATMENT PROGRAM <u>AS APPROVED</u> BY ADEQ. THE PERMITTEE SHOULD NOT ANSWER THE QUESTIONS BASED ON CHANGES MADE TO THE APPROVED PROGRAM WITHOUT DEPARTMENT AUTHORIZATION.

#### I. <u>General Information</u>

Con	trol Authority Name	
Add:	ress	
Cit	y State/Zip	
Con	tact Person Position	
Con	tact Telephone NPDES Permit Nos	
Rep	orting Period	
	(Beginning Month and Year) (Ending M	onth and Year)
Tota	al Number of Categorical IUs	
Tota	al Number of Significant Noncategorical IUs	
Tota	al Number of Non-Significant (yet permitted) IUs	
	II. Significant Industrial User Compliance	<u>:e</u>
	SIGNIFICAN' Categorica	I INDUSTRIAL USERS  NonCategorical
1)	No. of SIUs Submitting BMRs/Total No. Required /	N/A*
2)	No. of SIUs Submitting 90-Day Compliance Reports/No. Required /	N/A*
3)	No. of SIUs Submitting Semiannual Reports/ Total No. Required /_	/
4)	No. of SIUs Meeting Compliance Schedule/ Total No. Required to Meet Schedule/_	/
5)	No. of SIUs in Significant Noncompliance/ Total No. of SIUs	/
6)	Rate of Significant Noncompliance for all SIUs (categorical and noncategorical)	/

#### III. Compliance Monitoring Program

				IGNIFICANT II ategorical	NDUSTRIAL USER: NonCategorica	_
1)		trol Documents Issued/Total 1		/	/	
2)	No. of Non	sampling Inspections Conducte	ed	/	/	
3)	No. of Sam	pling Visits Conducted				
4)	No. of Fac	ilities Inspected (nonsamplim	ng) .			
5)	No. of Fac	ilities Sampled		/	/_	
		IV. <u>Enforcement</u>	actio	<u>ns</u>		
				SIGNIFICANT Categorical	INDUSTRIAL USE NonCategoric	
1)		pliance Schedules Issued/No. es Required		/	/	
2)	No. of Not	ices of Violations Issued to	SIUs			
3)	No. of Adm	inistrative Orders Issued to	SIUs			
4)	No. of Civ	il Suits Filed				
5)	No. of Cri	minal Suits Filed				
6)		nificant Violators (attach publication)				
7)		Penalties (not surcharges) (total dollars/IUs assessed)		/	/	
8)	Other Acti	ons (sewer bans, etc.)				
	following plete:	certification must be signed	in ord	der for this	form to be con	sidered
	ertify that my knowledge	the information contained hee.	erein i	s complete a	nd accurate to t	the best
	Autl	norized Representative		Date		_



November 5, 2012

Mr. Rufus Torrence, ADEQ Engineer Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality 5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, Arkansas 72118-5317

Re: Contaminated Sampling Point Corrected

Dear Mr. Torrence:

This letter is in response to your e-mail inquiry of Thursday, November 1, 2012, regarding the sample collection site at the Massard Wastewater Treatment Plant.

After investigating the current sample protocol and location at the Massard Plant, your assumption that the sample point is still at the location where the sludge line return is located is correct. As of Monday, November 05, 2012, the influent sample location has been moved to an influent point prior to the sludge line return. This new sample site will be more representative of the raw influent coming to the Massard Plant.

During the sampling for priority pollutants, the staff did all it could to collect samples when the sludge return was not in operation, however, it is possible that samples were collected after the sludge return had be turned off, but sludge was still present at the sampling point. As the Massard Plant has not experienced a latent toxic effect with the Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) analysis over the past year and the Massard Plant has been incompliance, the elevated levels are not the result of "slug" discharges which might cause pass through or interference at the Massard Plant.

After talking with Steve Floyd, Superintendent of Water & Wastewater Operations, it was determined that moving the influent sampling location to a point just the other side of the barscreen should eliminate the sludge carryover that has been experienced in the past.

If you have any additional questions or need any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Lance A. McAvoy,

Environmental Manager

Cc:

Steve Floyd

Jay Lor

					iooui u	maximam 7	no mable mode	aworno zouc	ang .					
Pollutant	% Rem <sup>7</sup>	Water Quality	Water Quality <sup>1</sup>	Sludge	Sludge <sup>3</sup>	Inhibition <sup>2</sup>	Inhibition <sup>4</sup>	MAHL	MAHC	Domestic	Allocation for %SF <sup>5</sup>	MAIL <sup>6</sup>	Max Inf Exceed	led Max Effluent
		mg/l	lbs/day	mg/kg	lbs/day	mg/l	lbs/day	lbs/day	mg/l	lbs/day	lbs/day	lbs/day	MAHC	vs WQS(mg/l)
Cadmium Total	67	0.0603	12.6738	85	1.548	1.00	69.31	1.5478	0.02233	0.20	1.16	0.965	No	No
Copper Total	93	0.2059	203.8267	4300	56.409	1.00	69.31	56.4086	0.81391	1.30	42.31	41.002	No	No
Lead Total	66	0.2471	50.3670	840	15.527	1.00	69.31	15.5273	0.22404	2.61	11.65	9.037	No	No
Mercury Total	84	0.00017	0.0715	57	0.828	0.10	6.93	0.0715	0.00103	0.0130	0.0536	0.041	No	No
Nickel Total	42	6.3372	757.2455	420	12.200	1.00	69.31	12.2000	0.17603	0.65	9.15	8.498	No	No
Selenium Total	50	0.0657	9.1074	100	2.440	0.20	13.86	2.440	0.03521	0.13	1.83	1.700	No	No
Silver Total	75	0.0537	14.8860	0	0.000	0.25	17.326	14.8860	0.21479	0.46	11.16	10.708	No	No
Zinc Total	91	1.6508	1271.2487	7500	100.549	4.500	311.87	100.5495	1.45082	3.98	75.41	71.434	No	No
Chromium Total	62	10.8176	1972.9387	3000	59.032	1.00	69.31	59.0323	0.85177	0.46	44.27	43.818	No	No
Cyanide Total	69	0.0715	15.9928	0	0.000	0.23	15.940	15.9402	0.23000	0.33	11.96	11.629	No	No
Arsenic	45	2.2931	288.9554	75	2.033	0.10	6.93	2.0333	0.02934	0.26	1.53	1.264	No	No
Molybdenum	50	0.0000	0.0000	75	1.830	0.20	13.86	1.8300	0.02640	0.52	1.37	0.851	No	No
Beryllium	50	0.072911	10.1062	0	0.000	0.10	6.9305	6.9305	0.10000	0.02	5.20	5.178	No	No
BOD₅							See Note 9	35618.0	513.9		;	See Note 1	1	
TSS							See Note 10	39587.8	571.2					

2085.0

30.1

Dry tons/day of sludge<sup>8</sup>

NH3-N

6.10 Saftey Factor

0.25

- 1 Water Quality: lbs/day = mg/l \* 8.34 \* average flow / (1-%Rem)
- 2 Inhibition from Page 3-44 of EPA 833B87202 Be est @ 0.10 mg/l and Appendix G of EPA 833R04002B; Zinc (4.5 mg/l) and CN (0.23 mg/l) from Sept 06 Annual Report
- 3 Sludge: lbs/day = (dry tons/day \* 0.002 \* critria(mg/kg))/ % Rem
- 4 Inhibition: lbs/day = mg/l \* Flow \* 8.34
- 5 Allocation: lbs/day = (1 SF) \* MAHL
- 6 MAIL = Maximum allowable industrial loading = Allocation for % SF Domestic
- 7 EPA Default Removal Eff from Page 3-56 EPA 833B87202; except Be & Mo est @ 50; Cr, Cu,Pb, Hg & Zn calculated on Removal worksheet in this Workbook (Not Shown--City should update periodically).

See Note 12

- 8 Dry tons/day of sludge based on Sept 2004 Audit Report showing 2228 dry tons/year or 2228/365 = 6.1 dt/day
- 9 BOD MAHL (lbs/day) based on the design load (14,650 lbs/day) or "highest normal value" recorded by the City which is 35,618 lbs/day recorded during Feb 2011. See BOD-TSS worksheet for more details.
- 10 Because the BOD-TSS data set contained possible "outliers" for TSS, the Department elected to perform a statistical analysis to predict the TSS peak loading which the City can meet with a 95% confidence level. In other words, the analysis predicts the peak loading (39587.8 lbs/day) with 95% assurance that this loading is the "true" peak loading (note that all outliers were removed from the data set). See the TSS MAHL worksheet for more details. The peak load is the average plus two standard deviations.

Ft Sm Massard Maximum Allowable Headworks Loading

- 11 Using the old local limit for BOD (450 mg/l), the total loading from all the SIUs is only 0.08 X 7.2 MGD X 450 mg/l X 8.34 = 2161.7 lbs/day. The SIUs appear to have little impact on both the organic and hydraulic loading. I/l appears to be the main source of both excess organic and hydraulic loadings. See 2010 Annual Report for SIU total flow.
- 12 The City did not submit any Ammonia data and the CDM design memorandum did not show any design criteria. Therefore, the Department used the design criteria in the CDM Report for the P Street WWTP. Hence, 1.25 X 20 mg/l X 10 MGD X 8.34 = 2085 lbs/day.

				Ft Sm P	St	Maximum A	llowable Head	works Loadii	ng					
Pollutant	% Rem <sup>7</sup>	Water Quality mg/l	Water Quality <sup>1</sup> Ibs/day	Sludge mg/kg	Sludge <sup>3</sup>	Inhibition <sup>2</sup> mg/l	Inhibition <sup>4</sup> Ibs/day	MAHL lbs/day	MAHC mg/l	Domestic lbs/day	Allocation for %SF <sup>5</sup>	MAIL <sup>6</sup> lbs/day	Max Inf Exceede	ed Max Effluent vs WQS(mg/l)
Cadmium Total	67	0.0530	15.9109	85	2.370	1.00	99.08	2.3699	0.02392	0.25	1.78	1.526	No	No
Copper Total	92	0.1808	223.9008	4300	87.309	1.00	99.08	87.3087	0.88120	1.68	65.48	63.804	No	No
Lead Total	61	0.2093	53.1599	840	25.723	1.00	99.08	25.7233	0.25962	3.36	19.29	15.936	No	No
Mercury Total	60	0.00014	0.0346	57	1.775	0.10	9.91	0.0346	0.00035	0.0168	0.0260	0.009	No	No
Nickel Total	42	5.3667	916.7705	420	18.680	1.00	99.08	18.6800	0.18854	0.84	14.01	13.171	No	No
Selenium Total	50	0.0577	11.4336	100	3.736	0.20	19.82	3.736	0.03771	0.17	2.80	2.634	No	No
Silver Total	75	0.0472	18.6881	0	0.000	0.25	24.770	18.6881	0.18862	0.59	14.02	13.429	No	No
Zinc Total	91	1.4497	1595.9419	7500	153.956	5.800	574.66	153.9560	1.55387	5.12	115.47	110.349	No	No
Chromium Total	92	9.4995	11765.0497	3000	60.913	1.00	99.08	60.9130	0.61479	0.59	45.68	45.097	No	No
Cyanide Total	45	0.0606	10.9131	0	0.000	0.10	9.908	9.9079	0.10000	0.42	7.43	7.011	No	No
Arsenic	45	2.0137	362.7583	75	3.113	0.10	9.91	3.1133	0.03142	0.34	2.34	1.999	No	No
Molybdenum	50	0.0000	0.0000	75	2.802	0.20	19.82	2.8020	0.02828	0.67	2.10	1.430	No	No
Beryllium	50	0.061745	12.2353	0	0.000	0.10	9.9079	9.9079	0.10000	0.03	7.43	7.406	No	No
BOD <sub>5</sub>							See Note 9	39156.0	395.2					
TSS							See Note 10	43003.0	434.0					
NH3-N							See Note 11	3127.5	31.6					
Dry tons/day of sl	udge <sup>8</sup>	9.34	Saftey Factor	0.25	]									

#### NOTES:

- <sup>1</sup> Water Quality: lbs/day = mg/l \* 8.34 \* average flow / (1-%Rem)
- <sup>2</sup> Inhibition from Page 3-44 of EPA 833B87202 Be est @ 0.10 mg/l and Appendix G of EPA 833R04002B; Zinc (5.8 mg/l) from Sept 06 Annual Report
- <sup>3</sup> Sludge: lbs/day = (dry tons/day \* 0.002 \* critria(mg/kg))/ % Rem

lbs/day = (dry ton-sludge/day) \* (2000 lbs-sludge/ton)\*(lb-pollutant/10^6 lbs-sludge)/%Rem = (dry tons/day \* 2000/10^6 \* critria(mg/kg))/ % Rem;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Inhibition: Ibs/day = mg/l \* Flow \* 8.34

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Allocation: Ibs/day = (1 - SF) \* MAHL

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> MAIL = Maximum allowable industrial loading = Allocation for % SF - Domestic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> EPA Default Removal Eff from Page 3-56 EPA 833B87202; except Be & Mo est @ 50; Cr and Zn from "Rem" worksheet in this workbook (City Should Update from time to time)

 $<sup>^{8}</sup>$  Dry tons/day of sludge based on Sept 2004 Audit report showing 3408 dry tons/year or 3408/365 = 0.34 dt/day

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> BOD: Referring to the EPA Local Limits Development Guidance (page 5-22), find that the MAHL should be based on the Design Loading Capacity or the Actual Peak Loading whichever is higher. In reference to the

P Street Design Criteria (Camp Dresser & McKee, May 2007 Preliminary Engineering Report, Tables 3-9 & 5-10), the design load is 313 mg/l X 15 MGD X 8.34 = 39,156 lbs/day which is greater than the current peak loading of only 13,338 lbs/day.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> TSS: See CDM report (Section 3.2). The Max Design Load for TSS is 1.25 X 275 mg/l X 15 MGD X 8.34 = 43003 lbs/day.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> NH3-N: Use the Section 3.2 factor (1.25) and the average value (20 mg/l) from Table 5-10, the ammonia MAHL is 1.25 X 20 mg/l X 15 MGD X 8.34 = 3127.5 lbs/day

### Massard Treatment Plant - Annual Averages Sept. 2010- Aug. 2011

Effluent								
Month	Avg Flow	BOD	BOD <sub>lbs/day</sub>	CBOD	CBOD <sub>lbs/day</sub>	TSS	TSS <sub>lbs/day</sub>	Max Flow
Sept. 10	7.3	-	-	7	470	13	898	10.8
Oct. 10	5.1	-	-	6	254	6	272	7.0
Nov. 10	5.9	14	780	-	-	8	452	11.2
Dec. 10	5.8	16	994	-	1	18	1233	12.5
Jan. 11	6.2	14	713	-	-	12	580	9.4
Feb. 11	9.4	15	1169	-	-	15	1135	15.4
Mar. 11	6.8	14	788	-	-	11	679	10.6
Apr. 11	10.1	11	825	-	-	23	2184	19.1
May. 11	12.8	-	-	7	851	24	2835	19.5
Jun. 11	5.8	-	-	3	168	5	279	10.2
Jul. 11	4.6	-	-	3	129	6	210	5.2
Aug. 11	5.2	-	-	5	224	8	383	9.4
Sept. 11	4.9	-	-	4	189	10	470	6.6
Oct. 11	5.4	-	-	5	216	8	363	10.5
Nov. 11	8.7	12	967	-	-	10	807	16.3
Dec. 11	9.5	5	446	-	1	7	595	17.8
Jan. 12	8.4	10	826	-	1	11	1127	19.1
Feb. 12	10.2	9	752	-	1	10	904	15.5
Mar. 12	11.9	6	545	-	-	8	885	19.0
Apr. 12	6.8	6	338	-	1	7	384	11.7
May. 12	5.2	-	-	5	206	5	233	8.1
Jun. 12	4.8	-	-	6	222	6	248	7.0
Jul. 12	4.9	-	-	4	182	6	234	7.8
Aug. 12	4.8	-	-	3	116	5	201	6.8
Averages	7.1	11	762	5	269	10	733	11.9

Influent								
Month	Avg Flow	BOD	BOD <sub>1bs/day</sub>	CBOD	CBOD <sub>lbs/day</sub>	TSS	TSS <sub>lbs/day</sub>	Max Flow
Sept. 10	7.3	574	35520	-	-	1138	70831	10.8
Oct. 10	5.1	822	34713	-	-	2574	107753	7.0
Nov. 10	5.9	760	34263	-	-	1311	58334	11.2
Dec. 10	5.8	744	33991	-	-	1262	58354	12.5
Jan. 11	6.2	443	23038	-	-	649	33350	9.4
Feb. 11	9.4	479	35618	-	-	892	65299	15.4
Mar. 11	6.8	445	24524	-	-	798	44428	10.6
Apr. 11	10.1	272	16810	-	-	488	30024	19.1
May. 11	12.8	126	12441	-	-	210	20553	19.5
Jun. 11	5.8	470	21157	-	-	995	43931	10.2
Jul. 11	4.6	590	22219	-	-	951	35690	5.2
Aug. 11	5.2	554	23813	1	-	852	37026	9.4
Sept. 11	4.9	494	20259	-	=	695	28543	6.6
Oct. 11	5.4	443	19218	-	-	560	24292	10.5
Nov. 11	8.7	348	20402	-	-	465	27062	16.3
Dec. 11	9.5	313	22240	ı	-	473	33719	17.8
Jan. 12	8.4	297	16442	1	-	427	23235	19.1
Feb. 12	10.2	206	15449	ı	-	324	23919	15.5
Mar. 12	11.9	166	11622	-	-	267	18505	19.0
Apr. 12	6.8	347	18521	-	-	643	33946	11.7
May. 12	5.2	495	21434	-	-	818	35767	8.1
Jun. 12	4.8	407	16335	ı	-	574	23034	7.0
Jul. 12	4.9	514	21248	-	-	689	28791	7.8
Aug. 12	4.8	491	19676	-	-	621	24691	6.8
Averages	7.1	450	22540	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	778	38795	11.9



October 22, 2012

Mr. Rufus Torrence Arkansas Dept. of Environmental Quality 5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, AR 72118

Dear Mr. Torrence:

Enclosed you will find the City of Fort Smith's Pretreatment Annual Report for the compliance year of August 1, 2011 through July 31, 2012. The information for this report is submitted via required Attachments; A - titled, "Pretreatment Program Status Report, Updated Industrial Users List" and B - titled, "Significant Violations – Enforcement Actions".

Also enclosed you will find a copy of the "Notice of Significant Violations" which was published on October 6, 2012, summaries of all influent and effluent analyses performed pursuant to conditions 1(c) of the City's NPDES Permits, and a copy of the Environmental Protection Agency's "Pretreatment Performance Summary" (PPS). Additionally, no interference, pass through, upset or POTW permit violations could be attributed to SIUs.

If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Lance A. McAvoy

Environmental Manager

1) Inf-eff chart data logged

DICIS Coded
3) Pret City

Annual Report you dated

DEGETVED OCT 26 2012 BAD 10153

Utility Department • 3900 Kelley Hwy. Fort Smith, Arkansas 72904 (479) 784-2231 • FAX (479) 784-2358

#### CITY OF FORT SMITH PRETREATMENT PROGRAM NOTICE OF SIGNIFICANT VIOLATIONS

As directed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency in the City of Fort Smith's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permits, public notice of major significant violators of the City of Fort Smith's Wastewater Pretreatment program is hereby given. A significantly violating Significant Industrial User (SIU) is one that meets one 34 ASTIAN or more of the following criteria (from 40 CFP and or more of the following criteria (from 40 CFR part 403.8 (f)(2)(vii)):

B. Technical Review Criteria (TRC) violations, de-fined here as those in which thirty-three percent or more of all of the measurements for each pollutant

C. Any other violation of a pretreatment effluent limit (daily maximum or longer-term average) that the Control Authority determines has caused, alone or in combination with other discharges, interference or pass through at the Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) (including endangering the health of POTW personnel or the general public);

D. Any discharge of a pollutant that has caused imminent endangerment to human health, welfare or to the environment or has resulted in the POTW's exercise of its emergency authority under paragraph (f)(1)(vi)(B) of the above cited CFR, to halt or prevent such a discharge;

ment order for starting construction, completing construction, or attaining final compliance;

F. Failure to provide, within 30 (thirty) days after the due date, required reports such as baseline monitor-ing reports, 90-day compliance reports, periodic self-monitoring reports, and reports on compliance with compliance schedules;

G. Failure to accurately report non-compliance;

H. Any other violation or group of violations that the Control Authority determines will adversely affect the operation or implementation of the local pretreatment program.

The SIU's that were in significant violation for the period of August 1, 2011 through July 31, 2012 are as follows:

1. SIU's in Significant Violation of Pollutant Limitations: Hiland Dairy, Inc.; Gerdau MacSteel; St. Ed-ward Mercy Medical Center; Twin River Foods (Navy

2. SIU's in Significant Violation of Reporting Require-

Cust:

Ad: 100611961 CITY OF FORT

solemnly swear that I am the Inside Sales Manager of the Times Record, a A. Chronic violations of wastewater limits, defined; a second class mailing privilege, and being not less than four pages of five here as those in which sixty-six percent or more of d at a fixed place of business and at fixed daily intervals continuously in the measurements taken during a six month period exceed (by any magnitude) the daily maximum limit or the average limit for the same pollutant parameter; astian County, Arkansas, for more than a period of twelve months, circulated established place of business to subscribers and readers generally of all county aforesaid, for a definite price for each copy, or a fixed price price for each copy. county aforesaid, for a definite price for each copy, or a fixed price per annum, t is considered the value of the publication based upon the news service value fifty percent of the subscribers thereto have paid cash for their subscription parameter taken during a six month period equal or exceed the product of the daily maximum or the average limit multiplied by the applicable TRC (TRC = 1.4 for BOD, TSS, fats, oil, and grease; and 1.2 for all other pollutants except pH.);

at the legal notice hereto attached in the matter of:

#### 9 FSAD NOTICE SNC 2012

E. Failure to meet, within 90 (ninety) days after the schedule date, a compliance schedule milestone contained in a local control mechanism or enforce. Turday the 6th day of October 2012, and concluding on y of October 2012 for the following dates:

10/06/12

319.00

Radonna Taylor

Sworn before me on the

My commission expires:,

COUNT Mondo CUUNA

#### PRETREATMENT PERFORMANCE SUMMARY (PPS)

NOTE: ALL QUESTIONS REFER TO THE INDUSTRIAL PRETREATMENT PROGRAM AS APPROVED BY THE EPA. THE PERMITTEE SHOULD NOT ANSWER THE QUESTIONS BASED ON CHANGES MADE TO THE APPROVED PROGRAM WITHOUT EPA AUTHORIZATION.

#### I. General Information

Con	trol Authority Name <u>City of Fo</u>	ort Smith		
Add	ress3900 Kelle	еу Нwy		
Cit	y Fort Smith	_State/Zip	Arkansas, 72	2904
	tact Person Lance A. McAvoy tact Telephone: (479)784-2337	Environmental (Position)	Manager	
NPD	ES Permit Nos. AR0033278, AR00	)21750		
Rep	orting <u>Period</u> August, 2011 (Beginning month a	and year)	July, 2 (Ending mont	2012 Th and year)
Tot	al Number of Categorical IUs		7	
Tot	al Number of Significant Noncate	egorical IUs	9	
	II. <u>Significant</u>	Industrial Use	er Compliance	
1)		al	SIGNIFICANT Categorical	INDUSTRIAL USERS Noncategorical
	No. Required		N/A	N/A
2)	No. of SIUs Submitting 90 -Day Reports/No. Required	Compliance	N/A	N/A
3)	No. of SIUs Submitting Semiannu Total No. Required	al Reports/	7/7	9/9
4)	No. of SIUs Meeting Compliance Total No. Required to Meet Sche		0/0	0/0
5)	No. of SIUs in Significant Noncontrol Total No. of SIUs		1/7	3/9
6)	Rate of Significant Noncomplian SIUs (categorical and noncatego		25	00

#### III. Compliance Monitoring Program

1)	No. of Control Documents Issued/Total No. Required	7/7	9/9
2)	No. of Nonsampling Inspections Conducted .	7/7	9/9
3)	No. of Sampling Visits Conducted	133	183
4)	No. of Facilities Inspected (nonsampling).	7/7	9/9
5)	No. of Facilities Sampled	7/7	9/9

#### IV. Enforcement Actions

			NONCATEGORICAL
1)	No. of Compliance Schedules Issued/No. of Schedules Required	0/0	0/0
2)	No. of Violations Issued to SIUs	50	64
3)	No. of Administrative Orders Issued to SIUs	0/0	0/0
4)	No. of Civil Suits Filed	0/0	0/0
5)	No. of Criminal Suits Filed	0/0	0/0
6)	No. of Significant Violators (attach newspaper publication)	1/7	3/9
7)	Amount of Penalties Collected (total dollars/IUs assessed)	\$0/0	\$0/0
8)	Other Actions (sewer bans, etc.)	0/0	0/0

The following certification must be signed for this form to be considered complete:

I certify that the information contained herein is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

Authorized Representative

Date

# MONITORING RESULTS (1) FOR THE ANNUAL PRETREATMENT REPORT

REPORTING YEAR: August 1, 2011 To: July 31, 2012

TREATMENT PLANT: "P" Street WWTP NPDES PERMIT #: AR0033278

AVERAGE POTW FLOW: 8.2 % IU Flow: 7%

			INFLUENT DATES SAMPLED	ES SAMPLED		Wo		EFFLUENT DATES SAMPLED	ES SAMPLED				
METALS,	MAHC		(ug/L)	2)		level/		(ug/L)	Ę)	,	LAB	LABORATORY ANALYSIS	'SIS'
CYANIDE and PHENOLS	(ug/L) (2)		Once/quarter	iarter		limit (ug/L)		Once/quarte	ıarteı <sup>.</sup>				
(Total)						(2)					EPA	EPA	Detection
		08/22/11	12/11/11	02/06/12	06/14/12		08/22/11	12/11/11	02/06/12	06/14/12	MQL	Method	Level
				<del></del>							(ug/L)	Used	Achieved
											· (1)	(I)	(ug/L)
Antimony	N/A	ND	מא	DN	UD	N/A	ND	ND	ND.	ND	60	200.8	60
Cadmium	23.9	ND	ND	dN	0.6	53	ND	ND	B	NB NB	0.5	200.8	0.5
Copper	880.9	61.0	37.0	7.2	39.0	180.8	5.4	4.3	2.9	3.8	0.5	200.8	0.5
Lead	259.5	13.0	1.6	1.0	12.0	209.3	0.7	0.5	0.6	1.3	0.5	200.8	0.5
Mercury	0.35	0.0110	0.0059	0.0170	ND	0.14	0.0022	ND	0.0028	Ü	0.005	245.2	0.0018
Nickel	188.4	16.0	3.5	4,4	120.0	5366.7	6.4	10.0	5.0	11.0	0.5	200.8	0.5
Selenium	37.7	ND	DU	ND	ND	57.7	ND	ND	Ö	UD	5	200.8	5
Silver	188.6	2.9	ND	Ŋ	NB	47.2	ND	ND	UN	ÜN	0.5	200.8	0.5
Zinc	1553.3	350	52	52	660	1449.7	57	62	31	56	20	200.8	20
Chromium	614.5	19	DU	DN	130	9499.5	ND	ND	GN	ÜN	10	200.8	10
Cyanide	100	ND	ND	ND	81	60.6	ND	ND	ND	ND	10	SM4500-CN C,E	10
Arsenic	31.41	2.3	מא	Ŋ	1.3	2013.7	1.3	0.8	ND	0.5	0.5	200.8	0.5
Molybdenum	28.2	ND	ND	ND	ND	N/A	ND	ND	ÜN	ÜN	1	200.8	8
Phenols	N/A	35	38	50	100	N/A	ND	25	42	13	5	420.1	5
Beryllium	100	ÜN	ND	ND	ND	61.7	'ND	ND	DN	ď	0.5	200.8	0.5
Thalliun	N/A	0.9	ND	ND	ND	N/A	ND	1.1	R	ND	0.5	200.8	0.5
Flow, MGD	N/A					N/A							
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate			23.0						9.3			625	5.0
Chloroform			5.3									624	5.0
Methyl bromide(Bromomethane)									6.3			624	5.0

Э Local Limits assessment and NPDES application purpose. It is advised that the influent and effluent samples are collected considering flow detention time through each plant. Analytical MQLs should be used so that the data can also be used for

(2) This value was calculated during the development of TBLL based on State WQ Standards and implementation procedures.

(3) Record the name of any pollutant [40 CFR 122, Appendix D, Table II and/or Table V] detected and the quantity in which they were detected.

MAHL - Maximum Allowable Headworks Level

WQ - Water Quality

## MONITORING RESULTS (1) FOR THE ANNUAL PRETREATMENT REPORT

 REPORTING YEAR:
 August 1, 2011
 To: July 31, 2012

 TREATMENT PLANT:
 Massard WWTP
 NPDES PERMIT #: AR0021750

 AVERAGE POTW FLOW:
 7.2
 % IU Flow:
 8%

Silver Cadmium Mercury Nickel Flow, MGD Beryllium rsenic Zyanide . untimony henois 10lybdenum is(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate CYANIDE and PHENOLS METALS, MAHC (ug/L) (2) 1451.7 814.4 N/A 223.5 852.3 230 214.7 352.3 1.03 224.1 N N N/A 29.3 N/A 26.4 8.8000 160.0 10/31/11 35.0 2500 9.6 710.0 100.0 N 0.8 510 9.3 Ą 56 F 19 INFLUENT DATES SAMPLED 01/11/12 0.0160 ND <u>∞</u> 3 3 0.9 ¥ 5 0.7 E S 43 音 Once/quarter 04/25/12 0.1600 8.8 \$ \$ \$ \$ 0.5 14.0 3 3 120 0.9 5.5 12 07/25/12 0.5400 12.0 ND 9.5 B B 23 골 = 0.9 320 0.9 54.0 ∞ نا S 1.4 10817.6 71.5 0.17 6337.2 2293.1 1650.8 53.7 65.7 247.1 N/A 60.3 205.9 wQ level/ limit (ug/L) 72.9 N/A N/A A 10/31/11 3 3 3 3 3 3 A ¥ 7.5 EFFLUENT DATES SAMPLED 01/11/12 0.0160 S.0 2 \$ 5 4 6 6 1.0 0.6 5 B B 5.5 3 3 Once/quarter 04/25/12 0.0082 6.0 ND ND ND ND ND ¥ 3.2 B B 원 원 등 6 Ą 07/25/12 0.0094 7.3 ND ND ND ND 7 중 중 8.0 \$ \B 31 ₹ 0,005 (ug/L) (1) 20 0.5 0.5 0.5 ĭo <del>..</del> LABORATORY ANALYSIS SM4500-CN C,E 200.8 200.8 200.8 Method 245.7 200.8 420.1 200.8 200.8 200.8 200.8 200.8 200.8 200.8 Used (I) 625 Achieved 0.0018 Detection (ug/L) 0.5 0.5 0.5 20 0.5 0.5 <u>-</u> 5.0 œ 5

	Ξ
Local Limits assessment and NPDES application purpose.	It is advised that the influent and effluent samples are collected considering flow detention time through each plant.
	Analytical MQLs should be used so that the data can also be used for

(2) This value was calculated during the development of TBLL based on State WQ Standards and implementation procedures

(3) Record the name of any pollutant [40 CFR 122, Appendix D, Table II and/or Table V] detected and the quantity in which they were detected.

MAHL - Maximum Allowable Headworks Level

WQ - Water Quality

ATTACHMENT A
PRETREATMENT PROGRAM STATUS REPORT
UPDATED SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRIAL USERS LIST

										***************************************		
			J	Control								
			Ω	Document				Comp	Compliance Status (C, NC, or SNC)	s (C, NC,	or SNC)	
									Rep	Reports		
		-		Last	New	Times	Times		90-day	Semi	Self	Permit
Industrial User	SIC	Categorical	X/N	Y/N Action	User	Inspected	Sampled	BMR	Compliance	Annual	Monitoring	Limits
	Code (s)	Determination										
City of Arkoma, OK	9131, 9111, 9121		Ħ	02/01/08		П	16			υ	υ	NC - BOD
Exide Technologies (GNB Industrial Power)	3691	40 CFR 461	Y	12/15/09		1	31			υ	υ	NC - Oil & Grease, BOD
Fort Smith Plating Co., Inc.	3471	40 CFR 413.	×	07/01/12		1	13			υ	υ	ט
Gerdau MacSteel	3312	40 CFR 420.	Y	01/01/10		П	3.1			U	NC	SNC - Zn, NC - Lead
Kickory Springs Mfg. Co.	3469, 3429, 3086, 2297	40 CFR 433	¥	09/01/10		F	13			υ	υ	NC - Oil & Grease
Hiland Dairy Co.	2026, 2086		Y	12/31/08		1	36			ນ	NC	SNC - BOD, NC - TSS
Hiram Walker Pernod Ricard USA 2085,	2085, 5182		X	01/01/11		гH	14			υ	υ	ນ
Mars Petcare	2047		X	11/01/09		1	16			0	NC	NC - pH
Owens Corning Composite Materials LAC.	2297, 3296		Y	07/01/11		Н	14			υ	υ	บ
OdalServ Corp Ft. Smith Division	2541, 2511	40 CFR 433	¥	07/31/08		н	*			υ	υ	U
Rheem Mfg. Co.	3585	40 CFR 433	Y	07/01/10		1	1.4			ລ	C	C
U Sparks Regional Medical Center 8062	8062		Ж	08/01/11		Н	28			υ	υ	NC - Oil & Grease
VSt. Edwards Mercy, Medical	8062		Y	09/01/11		17	20			υ	NC	SNC - BOD, TSS
Trane	3585	40 CFR 433	Y	11/01/10		гч	31			υ	υ	NC - Zn, TSS
Twin Rivers Foods (Navy Road)	2015		⊁	11/01/06		ç~i	25			υ	NC	SNC - BOD, pH NC - TSS
Whirlpool Corp	3632, 3639		⅓	08/31/10			15			υ	υ	Ü

Note(s): \* Permittee maintained a zero discharge status in CY 11/12 Highlighted SIUs had a company name change

ATTACHMENT B SIGNIFICANT VIOLATIONS - ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS TAKEN

	Natuı	Nature of	NU	mber o	f Actic	Number of Action(s) Taken	ц		Comp	Compliance		
	Viole	Violation							Sch	Schedule		
								Penalties				
Industrial User	Reports	Limits	N.O.V.	A.o.	civil (	A.O. Civil Criminal		Other Collected	Date	Date	Current	Comments
									Issued	Due	Status	
City of Arkoma		×	1-1								NC	
Exide Technologies		×	4								NC	
Gerdau MacSteel		×	42	×							SNC	AO pending
Hickory Springs Mfg.		X	1								NC	
Hiland Dairy		Х	35	×							SNC	AO pending
Mars Petcare		Х	2								NC	
St. Edwards Mercy Medical		X	6	Х							SNC	AO pending
Sparks Reg. Medical Center	X	Х	2								NC	
Trane		Х	2								NC	
Twin Rivers Foods (Navy Road)		X	15	×							SNC	AO pending

PPS Program Report	8033278 L* NPDES 10: 148.00	821758 Permittee's Name 7 ort Smith
77"	*Report Received/Event Date:	Date //- 5 - 2012
sort Type essecus Program Report (1980		
C Biosolids Program Report		Pretreatment Performance Summary Report
C CAFO Annual Report	,	C SSO Annual Report
C CSO Event Report		C SSO Event Report
C Local Limits Report		C SSO Monthly Event Report
C MS4 Program Report		C Storm Water Event Report
Report In	formation .	Lucilla Lucilla inite
* Pretreatment Performance Summary Start Date:	10-26-2012	Date of Most Recent Technical Evaluation & or Local Limits:
Significant Indus Si⊍s:	ITIRI Users (SIUS)	Date of Most Recent Adoption of Technically Based Local Limits:
SIUs Without Control Mechanism:	L15	Local Limit Pollutants:
SIUs Not Inspected:		
SIUs Not Sampled:		FADOV REMOVE
SIUs in SNC with Pretreatment Standards:	4	Removal Gredits
SIUs in SNC with Reporting Requirements:		Removal Credits Application Status: Not Applicable Date of Most Recent Removal Credits Approval:
SIUs in SNC with Pretreatment Schedule:		Popular Coulting
SIUs in SNC Published in Newspaper:	4	Removal Credits:
SIUs Schedules:		
Violation Notices Issued to SIUs:	11/4	
Administrative Orders Issued to SIUs:		REMOVES :
Civil Suits Filed Against SiUs:		Acceptance of Hazardous Waste: No 🔻
Criminal Suits Filed Against Slus:		Acceptance of Non-Hazardous Industrial Waste: No
Saregorica) Indus	onal Users (Glus)	Acceptance of Hauled Domestic Wastes: No No
Cl⊍s:	and the second second	Peliciencies
Clus in SNC:		Deficiencies Identified During IU File Review: No
Pena  Dollar Amount of Penalties Collected:		Control Mechanism Deficiencies: No Legal Authority Deficiencies: No
•	Princeton Contractor	Deficiencies in Data Management and Public No
strial Users (IUs) from which Penalties have been collected:		Participation:
Olieani	ottisj(oli	Pretreatment Standards:
SUO Reference:	Base and the control of the control	Inadequacy of Sampling and Inspections: No
SUO Date:	The second secon	Adequacy of Pretreatment Resources: Yes
Annual Pretreatment Budget: 9	B Commencination of the Commencination of th	Annual Frequency of Influent Toxicant Sampling:
Pass-Through/Interference Indicator:		Annual Frequency of Effluent Toxicant Sampling:
ition of IU Schedule for Remedial Measures:	No 3	Annual Frequency of Sludge Toxicant Sampling:
nal Response to Violation of IU Schedule for Remedial Measures:	2	
and the state and the state of Them and Applications and the state of	the control of the section of the se	